

## Announcement of Federal Funding Opportunity

---

**Commission Name:** Nevada Volunteers, the Governor's Commission on Service  
**Federal Agency Name:** Corporation for National and Community Service  
**Funding Opportunity Title:** 2017 AmeriCorps State and National Grants – Targeted Priority  
**Announcement Type:** Initial Announcement  
**CFDA Number:** 94.006

This notice is for AmeriCorps Nevada (AmeriCorps State) grants in two identified targeted priority areas: Healthy Futures: Reducing and/or Preventing Drug and Opioid Abuse and Safer Communities: Strengthening Law Enforcement and Community Relations. These grants are awarded to organizations that propose to place AmeriCorps members solely in Nevada. This notice contains information on the process for applying for 2017 AmeriCorps\*State grants. Nevada Volunteers (a nonprofit 501(c) 3) is the Governor's Commission on Service and is charged with reviewing, selecting, and administering the AmeriCorps\*State funds and programs throughout Nevada. It is the state's resource for volunteer service information.

**Disclosure:** Publication of this Announcement of Federal Funding Opportunity (*Notice*) does not obligate the Corporation for National and Community Service (CNCS) or Nevada Volunteers to award any specific number of grants or to obligate any particular amount of funding.

### IMPORTANT DATES

---

- **Friday, March 17, 2017 – Notification of Intent to Apply:** Applicants are **REQUIRED** to submit a Notification of Intent to Apply for this competition that focuses on two CNCS targeted priorities: Healthy Futures: Reducing and/or Preventing Prescription Drug and Opioid Abuse and Safer Communities: Strengthening Law Enforcement and Community Relations. Notifications of Intent to Apply must be submitted via this link: <https://nevadavolunteers.wufoo.com/forms/wwuxu9l06kzwwy/> by **5 p.m. PST on Friday, March 17, 2017.**
- **Friday, March 31, 2017 – Draft Application:** A first draft of the full application will be due in eGrants by **5:00 p.m. PST on Friday, March 31, 2017.**
- **Wednesday, April 26, 2017 – Final Applications:** Final applications are due in eGrants by **5:00 p.m. PST on Wednesday, April 26, 2017 and additional required documents (see *Submission of Additional Documents section on page 12*) are due via email to [grants@nevadavolunteers.org](mailto:grants@nevadavolunteers.org) by 5:00 p.m. on Wednesday, April 26, 2017.**
- **Friday, July 14, 2017 – Application Notification:** Successful applicants will be notified **no later than Friday, July 14, 2017.**

### NEVADA SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS

---

All programs operating in Nevada must address each of the Nevada Volunteers identified expectations below in their application:

- Inclusive in the design and delivery making the program accessible to individuals with disabilities.
- Program Design supports at least 10 MSY (10 FTE members) managed by a full-time Program Director.\*
- Programs can demonstrate community impact and solve community problems using an Evidence-based or Evidence-informed approach (e.g. performance data, research, theory of change).
- Nevada Volunteers elects to retain a share of 30 percent of the 5 percent of federal funds available to programs for administrative costs (or 1.5 percent of the overall CNCS share). Subgrantees are required to allocate this Administrative Cost to be retained by Nevada Volunteers for administrative oversight.
- Progress Reports are submitted quarterly NOT semi-annually as noted in Federal Notice of Funding Opportunity.
- Programs are required to conduct three (3) National Service days each grant year.

- Programs are required to budget for staff to attend one (1) CNCS-sponsored technical assistance meeting each year. There are typically two to three such technical assistance opportunities per year and Nevada Volunteers will determine which meeting(s) are applicable on an annual basis after review of the planned events.

*\*Nevada Volunteers will consider applications with less than 10 MSY (10 FTE members) and a part-time program director where it can be shown that the program design has the capacity to result in meaningful and significant impact.*

## **NOFO TRAINING AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE**

---

Nevada Volunteers has developed multiple resources to assist grant applicants with the development of your AmeriCorps grant program. These resources include four pre-recorded webinars that focus on key components of AmeriCorps grant programs: Understanding AmeriCorps and Determining Organizational Fit, Program Design and Logic Models, Narrative and Performance Measurement Requirements, and Budget and NOFO Requirements. These pre-recorded resources are located on Nevada Volunteers' website <http://nevadavolunteers.org/ameriCorps/ameriCorps-funding/notice-of-funding-opportunities/>. These resources are relevant to all AmeriCorps grant applications. Nevada Volunteers has also scheduled a Training and Technical Assistance (T&TA) Conference Call specific to this NOFO in which staff will present best practices for AmeriCorps opioid grant programs. This Opioid Priority NOFO T&TA Conference Call is scheduled for Thursday, March 2, 2017, from 2:00 to 3:30 p.m. Please register for this training at: <https://attendee.gotowebinar.com/register/2780201293280153859>

## **PROGRAM DESCRIPTION**

---

### **A. Purpose of AmeriCorps Funding**

The mission of CNCS is to improve lives, strengthen communities, and foster civic participation through service and volunteering. Through AmeriCorps, Senior Corps, the Social Innovation Fund, and the Volunteer Generation Fund, CNCS has helped to engage millions of citizens in meeting community and national challenges through service and volunteer action.

AmeriCorps grants are awarded to eligible organizations (see *Eligible Applicants* section page 6) proposing to engage AmeriCorps members in Evidence-based or Evidence-informed interventions to strengthen communities. An AmeriCorps member is an individual who engages in community service through an approved national service position. Members may receive a living allowance and other benefits while serving. Upon successful completion of their service, members earn a Segal AmeriCorps Education Award from the National Service Trust that members can use to pay for higher education expenses or apply to qualified student loans.

### **CNCS Focus Areas**

The National and Community Service Act of 1990, as amended by the Serve America Act, emphasizes measuring the impact of service and focusing on a core set of issue areas. In order to carry out Congress' intent and to maximize the impact of investment in national service, CNCS has the following focus areas:

#### **Disaster Services**

Grant activities will provide support to increase the preparedness of individuals for disasters, improve individuals' readiness to respond to disasters, help individuals recover from disasters, and/or help individuals mitigate disasters. Grantees also have the ability to respond to national disasters under CNCS cooperative agreements and FEMA mission assignments.

#### **Economic Opportunity**

Grants will provide support and/or facilitate access to services and resources that contribute to the improved economic well-being and security of economically disadvantaged people; help economically disadvantaged people, including youth identified in My Brother's Keeper (see Mandatory Supplemental Guidance) to have improved access to services that enhance financial literacy; transition into or remain in safe, healthy, affordable housing; and/or have improved employability leading to increased success in becoming employed.

#### Education

Grants will provide support and/or facilitate access to services and resources that contribute to improved educational outcomes for economically disadvantaged children; improved school readiness for economically disadvantaged young children; improved educational and behavioral outcomes of students in low-achieving elementary, middle, and high schools; and/or support economically disadvantaged students prepare for success in post-secondary educational institutions.

#### Environmental Stewardship

Grants will provide support for increased individual behavioral change leading to increased energy efficiency, renewable energy use, and ecosystem improvements particularly for economically disadvantaged households and communities. Grant activities will decrease energy and water consumption; improve at-risk ecosystems; increase behavioral changes that lead directly to decreased energy and water consumption or improved at-risk ecosystems; and/or increase green training opportunities that may lead to decreased energy and water consumption or improved at-risk ecosystems.

#### Healthy Futures

Grants will provide support for activities that will improve access to primary and preventive health care for communities served by CNCS-supported programs; increase seniors' ability to remain in their own homes with the same or improved quality of life for as long as possible; and/or increase physical activity and improve nutrition in youth with the purpose of reducing childhood obesity.

#### Veterans and Military Families

Grants will positively impact the quality of life of veterans and improve military family strength; increase the number of veterans, military service members, and their families served by CNCS-supported programs; and/or increase the number of veterans and military family members engaged in service through CNCS-supported programs.

#### **B. Funding Priorities** (See Mandatory Supplemental Guidance for further information about some of the priorities)

CNCS seeks to prioritize the investment of national service resources in:

- Healthy Futures: Reducing and/or Preventing Prescription Drug and Opioid Abuse
- Safer communities: Strengthening law enforcement and community relations

**Applications that do not fall within these two funding priorities are considered noncompliant and will not be reviewed.**

In order to receive priority consideration and three points for submitting an application within a *Notice* priority(ies), applicants must demonstrate that the priority area is a significant part of the program focus and intended outcomes and must include a high quality program design. Responses from proposed programs for the purpose of receiving priority consideration are not guaranteed funding.

#### **C. National Performance Measures**

Nevada Volunteers requires all applicants to use National Performance Measures as part of their comprehensive performance measurement strategy that relies on both performance and evaluation data to learn from their work as well as make tactical and strategic adjustments to achieve their goals. For more information, please refer to the National Performance Measure Instructions [<http://www.nationalservice.gov/build-your-capacity/grants/funding-opportunities>].

All applications must include at least one aligned performance measure (output and outcome) that corresponds to the proposed primary intervention. Nevada Volunteers and CNCS do not expect applicants to select performance measures to correspond to each and every potential member activity or community impact. Nevada Volunteers and CNCS values the quality of performance measures over the quantity of performance measures. As described in the Application Instructions, applicants must include all their information about their proposed performance measures in the Performance Measures section of the applications in eGrants ([CNCS's web-based grants management system](#)). All information requested in the National Performance Measures Instructions must be included in the text of the performance measures themselves, and it must be evident in the performance measures text that all definitions and requirements outlined in the National

Performance Measures Instructions are met. Providing performance measures information elsewhere in the narrative cannot be in lieu of providing full information in the Performance Measures section of the application in eGrants.

#### **D. Program Authority**

CNCS's legal authority to award these grants is found in the National and Community Service Act of 1990, as amended, (NCSA) (42 U.S.C. 12501 et seq.)

### **FEDERAL AWARD INFORMATION**

---

#### **A. Estimated Available Funds**

Nevada Volunteers and CNCS expect a highly competitive AmeriCorps grant competition. CNCS reserves the right to prioritize providing funding to existing awards over making new awards. The actual level of funding will be subject to the availability of annual appropriations.

#### **B. Estimated Award Amount**

Grant awards have two components: operating funds and AmeriCorps member positions. Grant award amounts vary – both in the level of operating funds and in the type and amount of AmeriCorps member positions – as determined by the scope of the projects.

#### **C. Project and Award Period**

The project period is generally one year with a start date proposed by the applicant. The grant award covers a three-year project period unless otherwise specified. In most cases, the application is submitted with a one-year budget. Continuation funding for subsequent years is not guaranteed and shall be dependent upon availability of appropriations and satisfactory performance.

The project start date may not occur prior to the date Nevada Volunteers awards the grant. AmeriCorps members may not enroll prior to the start date of the award. AmeriCorps members may not begin service prior to the beginning of the member enrollment period as designated in the grant award. A program may not certify any hours a member performs prior to the beginning of the member enrollment period.

#### **D. Type of Award**

**AmeriCorps Operating Grants:** CNCS may award a Cost Reimbursement or a Fixed Amount grant to any successful applicant, but the availability of a Full Time Fixed Amount grant is limited to certain applicants. See the *Eligible Applicants* section and the **Mandatory Supplemental Guidance** for more information. CNCS will not provide both types of grants for the same project in one fiscal year.

Grant Types	Cost Reimbursement		Fixed Amount		
	Available Subtypes	Traditional	Professional Corps	Full time	EAP
Maximum Cost per MSY	\$13,830,	Up to \$1,000*	\$13,430	\$800	Up to \$1,000*
Type of Slots in the National Service Trust	All	All	Full-time or Less than Full-time serving in a full time capacity	All	Full-time only
Budget Submission Required	Yes		No		Yes, if requesting operating funds
Availability of Funds linked to enrollment and retention of awarded MSYs	No		Yes		
Special Requirements	N/A	Must place qualified professionals in communities with an inadequate number of such professionals. Member salaries must be paid entirely by organization where member serves and not included in budget.	N/A		Must place qualified professionals in communities with an inadequate number of such professionals. Member salaries must be paid entirely by organization where member serves and not included in budget.
Financial Reporting Requirements	Yes	Yes; Living allowance or salary paid to members may not be counted toward the match requirements	No		
Available to new Applicants	Yes		No	Yes	

\*CNCS assumes that Professional Corps will cover the operating expenses associated with the AmeriCorps program through non-CNCS funds and thus will not be requesting operating funds as part of their applications. CNCS will consider Professional Corps programs' requests for operating funds of up to \$1,000 per MSY if an applicant is able to demonstrate in its narrative and supporting budget materials significant legal applicant financial need and substantial challenges to raising non-CNCS resources.

CNCS will determine whether a Professional Corps legal applicant has sufficiently demonstrated that they cannot effectively operate an AmeriCorps program without receiving CNCS operating funds on a case-by-case basis, examining all of the circumstances surrounding the application. There are a wide variety of circumstances under which a Professional Corps legal applicant will be able to demonstrate a compelling need for operating funds. However, given the high demand for AmeriCorps funding there are also specific circumstances where CNCS believes that a Professional Corps legal applicant will be highly unlikely to demonstrate a need for operational funds. For example, where CNCS's due diligence review of a Professional Corps application shows that the applicant has a historically high level of support from non-CNCS sources and, as a result, has levels of unrestricted net assets that are well in excess of the requested level of CNCS funding, it is difficult to see how the Professional Corps would be able to demonstrate that they should receive operational funding (which would inherently reduce the funding available to other high-quality applicants).

## C. ELIGIBILITY INFORMATION

### 1. Eligible Applicants

To be considered for competitive funding, a legal applicant must meet all eligibility criteria as outlined in the eligibility information section of this notice.

The following Non-Federal entities (as defined in 2 C.F.R. §200.69) who have DUNS numbers and are registered in System for Award Management (SAM) are eligible to apply:

- Indian Tribes (2 C.F.R. §200.54)
- Institutions of higher education (2 C. F.R. §200.54)
- Local governments (2 C.F.R. §200.64)
- Nonprofit organizations (2 C.F.R. §200.70)
- States (2 C.F.R. §200.90)

Previously receiving funds from CNCS or another federal agency is not a prerequisite to apply to this *Notice*.

### New Applicants

Nevada Volunteers encourages organizations that have not received prior funding from CNCS to apply. To be considered for funding, an applicant must meet all criteria under *Eligibility Information*. Additionally, CNCS's general practice is to award no more than 50 member positions (slots) to organizations receiving an AmeriCorps grant for the first time.

New applicants are eligible to apply for Cost Reimbursement and Education Award Program (EAP) grants but are not eligible to apply for Full Time Fixed Amount grants. Existing subgrantees/operating sites of Fixed Amount and Cost Reimbursement grantees that can demonstrate a successful history and current capacity to manage a Fixed Amount grant are considered to have AmeriCorps experience and, therefore, can apply for Fixed Amount grants. See *Mandatory Supplemental Guidance* for more information.

### Types of Applicants

Single State Applicants are organizations that propose to operate only in Nevada and must apply through Nevada Volunteers. A single-state application that is submitted directly to CNCS by the applicant rather than Nevada Volunteers is considered noncompliant and will not be reviewed.

National Direct Applicants are *Multi-State* or Organizations that propose to operate AmeriCorps programs in more than one State or Territory and apply directly to CNCS.

National Direct applicants proposing to operate in Nevada must complete the Nevada National Direct Consultation form found at <http://nevadavolunteers.org/ameriCorps/ameriCorps-funding/national-direct-consultation-process/> prior to submitting their application to CNCS.

Federally-recognized Indian Tribes: Applicants that are Indian Tribes apply directly to CNCS.

## B. Threshold Issues

Applications should reflect that they meet the following threshold requirements for the grant type for which they are applying.

- *Nevada Volunteers requires that organizations applying for funding have a program design that supports at least 10 MSY (10 FTE members).\**
- *Nevada Volunteers requires that organizations applying for funding to have a full-time program director.\**
- If an applicant is applying for a Professional Corps grant, its application must demonstrate that the community in which it will place AmeriCorps members serving as professionals has an inadequate number of said professionals.
- If an applicant is applying for a Full Time Fixed Amount grant, the application must reflect that only full-time or less than full-time positions serving in a full-time capacity will be permitted.
- An application for the Governor and Mayor Initiative must clearly reflect that the application is from one Governor, one or more Mayors, and a minimum of two non-profit organizations.
- If the proposed service activities require specialized member qualifications and/or training (for example, tutoring programs: 45 C.F.R. §2522.910-.940), the applicant must describe how the program will meet these requirements.

*\*Nevada Volunteers will consider applications with less than 10 MSY (10 FTE members) and a part-time program director where it can be shown that the program design has the capacity to result in meaningful and significant impact.*

### C. Other Requirements

Under section 132A (b) of the NCSA, organizations that have been convicted of a federal crime may not receive assistance described in this *Notice*.

Under section 745 of Title VII, Division E of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016, if CNCS is aware that any corporation has any unpaid Federal tax liability which 1) has been assessed, 2) for which all judicial and administrative remedies have been exhausted or have lapsed, and 3) that is not being paid in a timely manner pursuant to an agreement with the authority responsible for collecting the tax liability, that corporation is not eligible for an award under this *Notice*.<sup>1</sup> A similar restriction may be enacted with the appropriation which will fund awards under this *Notice*.

Pursuant to the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995, an organization described in Section 501(c) (4) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, 26 U.S.C. 501(c) (4) that engages in lobbying activities is not eligible to apply for CNCS funding.

## APPLICATION AND SUBMISSION INFORMATION

---

This *Notice* should be read together with the AmeriCorps Regulations, 45 C.F.R. §§ 2520–2550, the Mandatory Supplemental Guidance, Application Instructions, and the Performance Measure Instructions which are incorporated by reference. These documents can be found at [www.nevadavolunteers.org](http://www.nevadavolunteers.org). The full Regulations are available online at [www.ecfr.gov](http://www.ecfr.gov).

### A. Content and Form of Application Submission

In eGrants, applicants will enter the following components of a complete application:

- Standard Form 424 (SF-424) Face Sheet: This is automatically generated when applicants complete the data elements in the eGrants system
- Narratives
  - Executive Summary
  - Program Design
  - Organizational Capability
  - Cost Effectiveness and Budget Adequacy

---

<sup>1</sup> However, this exclusion will not apply to a corporation which a Federal agency has considered for suspension or debarment and has made a determination that suspension or debarment is not necessary to protect the interests of the Federal Government.

- Evaluation Plan
- Logic Model
- Standard Form 424 Budget
- Performance Measures
- Authorization, Assurances, and Certification

## **B. Dun and Bradstreet University Numbering System (DUNS) Number and System for Award Management (SAM)**

Applications must include a DUNS number **and** an Employer Identification Number. The DUNS number does not replace an Employer Identification Number. DUNS numbers may be obtained at no cost by calling the DUNS number request line at (866) 705-5711 or by applying online: [DUNS Request Service](#). CNCS recommends registering at least 30 days before the application due date.

After obtaining a DUNS number, all applicants **must** register with the [System for Award Management \(SAM\)](#) and maintain an active SAM registration until the application process is complete and, if a grant is awarded, throughout the life of the award. SAM registration must be renewed annually. CNCS suggests finalizing a new registration or renewing an existing one at least two weeks before the application deadline to allow time to resolve any issues that may arise. Applicants must use their SAM-registered legal name and address on all grant applications to Nevada Volunteers.

Applicants that do not comply with these requirements may become ineligible to receive an award. See the [SAM Quick Guide for Grantees](#).

## **C. Submission Dates and Times**

### **1. Notification of Intent to Apply**

Submission of a **Notification of Intent to Apply** is **REQUIRED** for this competition and is due, **Friday, March 17, 2017 BY 5:00 p.m. PST**. Please complete the Wufoo form at <https://nevadavolunteers.wufoo.com/forms/wwuxu9106kzwvy/>. All intent submissions will receive an email acknowledging receipt.

### **2. First Draft Application Submission Deadline**

A first draft of the full application is due in eGrants by **5 p.m. PST Friday, March 31, 2017**.

**3. Final Application Deadline: Final applications are due in eGrants** and additionally required applications are due via email to [grants@nevadavolunteers.org](mailto:grants@nevadavolunteers.org) by **5 p.m. PST Wednesday, April 26, 2017**. (See *Submission of Additional Documents* found on page 12, paragraph 6.1 of this NOFO for guidance.)

### **4. Late Applications**

Nevada Volunteers may consider an application submitted after the deadline if an applicant submits a letter to [grants@nevadavolunteers.org](mailto:grants@nevadavolunteers.org) explaining the extenuating difficulties that caused the delay **within one business day of the submission deadline**. If technical difficulties prevent a timely submission, the letter should include the Help Desk ticket number provided by the National Service Hotline. (See the *Electronic Application Submission in eGrants* section on page 13, paragraph 6.2). Applicants are also required to continue working in [eGrants, CNCS's web-based system](#) and with the National Service Hotline to submit the application as soon possible after the deadline. Nevada Volunteers will determine whether or not to accept a late application on a case-by-case basis.

**Please note:** Nevada Volunteers will not consider an advance request to submit a late application. Applicants are encouraged to continue working on their application until it is submitted. If you are experiencing technical difficulties, please carefully review and follow the guidance listed above.

## **D. Funding Restrictions**

### **1. Member Living Allowance**



A living allowance is not considered a salary or a wage. Programs are not required to provide a living allowance for members serving in less than full-time terms of service; however, Nevada Volunteers encourages all programs to provide a living allowance for recruitment and retention purposes. If a program chooses to provide a living allowance to a less than full-time member, it must comply with the maximum limits in the table below. For Cost Reimbursement grants, the amount must be included in the proposed budget as either CNCS or grantee share. Exceptions are noted below.

While Fixed Amount grant applicants are not required to submit detailed budgets, they are still required to provide a living allowance to members that complies with the minimum and maximum requirements. Exceptions noted below. Nevada Volunteers requires Fixed Amount grant applicants to indicate living allowance amounts in the narrative portion of the application; however, positions should be requested as “without living allowance” in the budget.

**Table: Minimum and Maximum Living Allowance**

Service Term	Minimum # of Hours	Minimum Living Allowance	Maximum Total Living Allowance
Full-time	1700	\$12,630	\$24,930
Half-time	900	n/a	\$13,199
Reduced Half-time	675	n/a	\$9,899
Quarter-time	450	n/a	\$6,599
Minimum-time	300	n/a	\$4,400

**Exceptions to the Living Allowance Requirements**

- **Programs existing prior to September 21, 1993** are not required to offer a living allowance. If an applicant chooses to offer a living allowance, it is exempt from the minimum requirement, but not the maximum requirement.
- **EAP Grantees** are not required to provide a living allowance, but if a living allowance is provided, it must comply with the maximum requirements set forth in the Living Allowance Table above.
- **Professional Corps Grantees** must provide members a living allowance or salary, which must meet the minimum, but may exceed the maximum living allowance set in the Living Allowance Table above. Professional Corps member salaries are paid entirely by the organizations with which the members serve, and are not included in the budget.

**2. Maximum Cost per Member Service Year (MSY)**

The CNCS cost per MSY is determined by dividing the CNCS share of budgeted grant costs by the number of MSYs requested. It does not include child care or the value of the education award a member may earn. The maximum amount an applicant may request from CNCS per MSY is determined on an annual basis.

New and re-competing State Commission subgrantees/applicants will be held to the maximum cost per MSY for their grant type.

**Table: Member Term of Service and MSY Value**

Term of Service	Minimum # of Hours	Member Service Year (MSY) Value
Full Time	1700	1.00
Half Time	900	.500
Reduced Half Time	675	.3809524
Quarter Time	450	.26455027
Minimum Time	300	.21164022

**Table: Maximum Cost per MSY**

<b>Grant Program</b>	<b>Maximum</b>
Individual Competitive State/Territory Program (cost reimbursement)	\$13,830
Multi-state (cost reimbursement)	\$13,830
Professional Corps Grant (Cost Reimbursement)	Up to \$1,000*
Professional Corps Fixed Amount Grant	Up to \$1,000*
Education Award Program Fixed Amount Grant	\$800
Full-time Fixed Amount Grant	\$13,430
State/Territory Commission Formula Prime	\$18,000
Individual State/Territory Formula Program	\$20,000
State/Territory Commission Average (of all its subgrants)	\$13,830

\*CNCS’s assumption is that Professional Corps will cover the operating expenses associated with the AmeriCorps program through non-CNCS funds and thus will not be requesting operating funds as part of their applications. CNCS will consider operating funds of up to \$1,000 per MSY if an applicant is able to demonstrate in its narrative and supporting budget materials significant organizational financial need and substantial challenges to raising non CNCS resources.

Cost reimbursement programs operating in rural communities (as defined in the Mandatory Supplemental Guidance) and cost reimbursement programs that recruit opportunity youth as AmeriCorps members may request up to \$14,000 cost per MSY for the AmeriCorps members that will be serving in rural areas or who are opportunity youth. Applicants requesting the higher cost/MSY must include a compelling case justifying the cost/MSY and explaining why these costs cannot be covered by the Grantee Share (Match).

**3. Amount of the Segal AmeriCorps Education Award for FY 2017**

AmeriCorps members serving in programs funded with FY 2017 dollars who successfully complete a term of service will receive an Education Award from the National Service Trust of \$5,815.00 for a year of full-time service, with correspondingly smaller awards for less than full-time service. The amount of the Education Award is linked to the value of the Pell Grant. A member has up to seven years after his or her term of service to use the Education Award.

**Table: Term of Service and Education Award**

<b>Term of Service</b>	<b>Minimum # of Hours</b>	<b>Education Award</b>
Full Time	1700	\$5,815.00
Half Time	900	\$2,907.50
Reduced Half Time	675	\$2,215.24
Quarter Time	450	\$1,538.36
Minimum Time	300	\$1,230.69

**4. Cost Sharing or Matching**

**Fixed Amount Grants**

There is no specific match requirement for Fixed Amount grants. CNCS does not provide all the funds necessary to operate the program; therefore, organizations must raise the additional revenue required to operate the program.

**Cost Reimbursement Grants**

A first-time successful applicant is required to match at 24 percent for the first three-year funding period. Starting with year four, the match requirement gradually increases every year to 50 percent by year ten, according to the minimum overall share chart found in 45 C.F.R. §2521.60 and below.

**Table: Cost Sharing or Match Requirements**

AmeriCorps Funding Year	1, 2, 3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10+
Grantee Share Requirements	24%	26%	30%	34%	38%	42%	46%	50%

Section 121(e)(5) of NCSA (42 U.S.C. 12571(e)) requires programs that use other federal funds as match for an AmeriCorps grant to report the amount and source of these funds to CNCS on a Federal Financial Report. Grantees must track and be prepared to report on that match separately each year and at closeout.

The living allowance or salary provided to AmeriCorps members in Professional Corps programs (see Mandatory Supplemental Guidance) does not count toward the matching requirement.

**Alternative Match**

Under certain circumstances, applicants may qualify to meet alternative matching requirements that increase over the years to 35 percent instead of 50 percent as specified in the regulations at 45 C.F.R. §2521.60(b). To qualify, applicants must demonstrate that the proposed program is either located in a rural county or in a severely economically distressed community as defined in the Application Instructions. Applicants that plan to request an alternative match schedule must submit a request at the time the application is submitted.

**5. Indirect Costs**

CNCS allows applicants to include indirect costs in application budgets. Based on qualifying factors, applicants have the option of using a federally approved indirect cost rate, a 10 percent de minimis rate of modified total direct costs, or may claim certain costs directly as outlined in 2 C.F.R. § 200.413 Direct costs. States, local governments and Indian Tribes may use approved cost allocation plans. All methods must be applied consistently across federal awards. Applicants who hold a federal negotiated indirect cost rate or will be using the 10 percent de minimis rate must enter that information in the Organization section in eGrants. However, under section 121(d) of the NCSA and CNCS’s regulations at 45 C.F.R. 2517.710, no more than five percent of award funds may be used to recover indirect costs on AmeriCorps grants.

Nevada Volunteers elects to retain a share of 30 percent of the 5 percent of federal funds available to programs for administrative costs (or 1.5 percent of the overall CNCS share). Subgrantees are required to allocate this Administrative Cost to be retained by Nevada Volunteers for administrative oversight.

**6. Other Submission Requirements**

**1. Electronic Application Submission in eGrants**

Applicants must submit applications electronically via [eGrants, CNCS’s web-based system](#). CNCS recommends that applicants create an eGrants account and begin the application at least three weeks before the deadline. Applicants should draft the application as a word processing document, then copy and paste the text into the appropriate eGrants field no later than 10 days before the deadline. Please note the length of a document in word processing software may be different than what will print out in eGrants. When determining compliance with any page limits in this *Notice*, CNCS will consider the number of pages as they print out per the guidance in the *Page Limits* section.

The applicant’s authorized representative must be the person who submits the application. The authorized representative must be using eGrants under his or her own account in order to sign and submit the application. A copy of the governing body’s authorization for this official representative to sign must be on file in the applicant’s office.

Contact the National Service Hotline at (800) 942-2677 or via [eGrants Questions](#) if a problem arises when creating an account or preparing or submitting the application. Be prepared to provide the application ID, organization’s name, and

the Notice to which the organization is applying. If the issue cannot be resolved by the deadline, applicants must continue working with the National Service Hotline to submit their application via eGrants. National Service Hotline hours are as follows: Mon – Thu, 9:00 a.m. – 7:00 p.m. ET (Feb-April) and Mon – Fri, 9:00 a.m. 7 p.m. ET (May).

## 2. Submission of Additional Documents

Applicants are required to submit the following additional documents by the application submission deadline. Additional documents must be emailed to [grants@nevadavolunteers.org](mailto:grants@nevadavolunteers.org) with the following subject line: [legal applicant name]- [Application ID number]. Emails should include the following information:

### All applicants

- Current indirect rate cost agreement, if used to claim indirect/administrative costs.
- Evaluation briefs, reports, studies. Please refer to the *Evidence Base* section for detailed instructions by evidence tier for instructions as to which documents need to be submitted with the grant submission.
- Member Enrollment Worksheet
- Organizational Readiness Assessment
- Organizational Chart
  - The organizational chart that is submitted must clearly show where the AmeriCorps program would be within the Organization including the name and/or title of the individual (s) responsible for supervising the AmeriCorps members.
- Independent Financial Statement Audit form 2014 or 2015 (Submit the most recent completed)
- Single Audit from 2014 or 2015 (Submit the most recent completed) (if applicable)

### Recompeting applications

- Evaluation report, if required. Please see the *Evidence Base* section for further information.

### Governor/Mayor Initiative applicants (New and recompeting)

- Letter(s) of support from partnering non-profit organizations
- Letter of support cosigned by Governor and participating Mayor(s)

### Multi-focused Intermediaries

- Letters of support from the consortium members

**Do not submit other items not requested in this Notice or Application Instructions. Nevada Volunteers will not review or return them.**

## d. Page Limits

Applications may not exceed 15 pages for the Narratives or 18 pages for Multi-Focus Intermediaries and Multi-State applications with more than five operating sites. Nevada Volunteers will use the number of locations entered by the applicant into the “multi-state operating sites” field in eGrants to determine whether a multi-site application has more than five operating sites. The “multi-site operating sites” filed can be found by clicking on the “operating sites” link in eGrants. Multi-state applicants that list five or fewer operating sites cannot exceed 15 pages for the Narrative.

In determining whether an application complies with page limits, Nevada Volunteers will include:

- the application’s Executive Summary, SF 424 Face Sheet, and
- the Narrative portions contained in the Program Design, Organizational Capacity, and Cost Effectiveness and Budget Adequacy sections of the application.

Nevada Volunteers will consider the number of pages only as they print out from the “Review” tab in eGrants (where you will see the “View/Print your application” heading) when determining compliance with page limits. Nevada Volunteers will not consider the results of any alternative printing methods in determining whether an application complies with the applicable page limits. Nevada Volunteers strongly encourages applicants to print out the application from the “Review”

tab prior to submission to check that the application does not exceed the page limit. The application page limit does not include the Budget, narrative portion of the Evaluation Plan, the Logic Model, Performance Measures, or the Supplementary Materials, if applicable. However, the Logic Model may not exceed three pages when printed with the application from the “Review” tab in eGrants.

Please note the length of a document in word processing software may be different than what will print out in eGrants. Reviewers will not consider submitted material that is over the page limits in the printed report. Also, note that eGrants will not prevent an applicant from entering text which will exceed page limitations. This applies to both the application page limit and the Logic Model page limit. Do not submit other items not requested in this *Notice* or Application Instructions. Nevada Volunteers will not review or return them.

## APPLICATION REVIEW INFORMATION

---

### A. Criteria

Each applicant must describe a project that will deploy AmeriCorps members effectively to solve a significant community problem. Nevada Volunteers urges applicants to submit high quality applications that carefully follow the guidance in this *Notice* and in the Application Instructions. The quality of an application will be an important factor in determining whether an organization will receive funding.

#### 1. Executive Summary (Required - 0 percent)

Please fill in the blanks of these sentences to complete the Executive Summary. **Do not deviate from the template below.**

The [Name of the organization] proposes to have [Number of] AmeriCorps members who will [service activities the members will be doing] in [the locations the AmeriCorps members will serve]. At the end of the first program year, the AmeriCorps members will be responsible for [anticipated outcome of project]. In addition, the AmeriCorps members will leverage an additional [number of leveraged volunteers, if applicable] who will be engaged in [what the leveraged volunteers will be doing.]

This program will focus on [Healthy Futures and/or Safer Communities]. The CNCS investment of \$[amount of request] will be matched with \$[amount of projected match], \$[amount of local, state, and federal funds] in public funding and \$[amount of non-governmental funds] in private funding.

The dollar amount listed in the executive summary must match exactly with the amounts in the budget.

Fixed Amount grant applicants (EAP, Full Time Fixed, and Professional Corps Fixed) should list their Other Revenue (see Mandatory Supplemental Guidance) because they are not required to provide a specific amount of match, but still must raise significant additional resources to operate the program. CNCS will post all Executive Summaries of awarded grant applications on [www.nationalservice.gov](http://www.nationalservice.gov) in the interest of transparency and Open Government.

#### 2. Program Design (50 percent)

Reviewers will consider the quality of the application’s response to the criteria below. Do not assume all sub-criteria are of equal value.

##### a. Need (2 points)

- The community need is prevalent and severe in communities where members will serve and has been documented with relevant data.

“Community” can be a geographic region, a specific population of people, or a combination of both. The applicant organization must document the need it plans to address with its proposed program, whether it is a defined geographic community or a subset of individuals living in a particular area.

##### b. Intervention (3 points)

- The proposed intervention is clearly described.
- The proposed intervention aligns with the identified community need.

**c. Theory of Change and Logic Model (19 points)**

The Theory of Change shall address:

- The applicant's proposed intervention is clearly articulated including the design, target population, and roles of AmeriCorps members and (if applicable) leveraged volunteers.
- The applicant's intervention is likely to lead to the outcomes identified in the applicant's theory of change. The Theory of Change should be either evidence-informed or evidence-based, meaning that the proposed intervention is guided by the best available research evidence that supports its effectiveness, as described in the *Evidence Base* section below.
- The proposed outcomes articulated in the application narrative and Logic Model represent meaningful progress in addressing the community need identified by the applicant. Applicants should provide rationale for selecting output and outcome targets. Note, re-competing applicants proposing to significantly increase or decrease output and outcome targets from their previous grant must provide a justification for this change.
- The applicant's AmeriCorps members will produce significant contributions to existing efforts to address the stated problem.

The Logic Model shall depict:

- A summary of the community problem/need outlined in the narrative.
- The inputs or resources that are necessary to deliver the intervention, including but not limited to:
  - Number of locations or sites in which members will provide services
  - Number of AmeriCorps members that will deliver the intervention
- The core activities that define the intervention or program model that members will implement or deliver, including:
  - The duration of the intervention (e.g., the total number of weeks, sessions or months of the intervention)
  - The dosage of the intervention (e.g., the number of hours per session or sessions per week)
  - The target population for the intervention (e.g., disconnected youth, third graders at a certain reading proficiency level)
- The measurable outputs that result from delivering the intervention (i.e. number of beneficiaries served.) If applicable, identify which National Performance Measures will be used as output indicators.
- Outcomes that demonstrate changes in knowledge/skill, attitude, behavior, or condition that occur as a result of the intervention. If applicable, identify which National Performance Measures will be used as outcome indicators.

Programs may include short, medium, or long-term outcomes in the Logic Model. While performance measure outcomes should be consistent with the program's Theory of Change, programs are not required to measure all outcomes that are included in the Logic Model.

Applicants with multiple interventions should complete one Logic Model chart which incorporates each intervention. Logic Model content that exceeds three pages will not be reviewed.

**d. Evidence Base (12 points)**

Applicants will be awarded up to 12 points for providing evidence that their proposed intervention will lead to the outcomes identified in the logic model. Applicants must 1) state the evidence tier in which they think they qualify; 2) clearly indicate and describe the evidence that supports the highest evidence tier for which they are eligible and 3) describe the complete body of evidence that supports their program intervention including evidence from lower tiers. Applicants should pay particular attention to the requirements for each tier of evidence. More points are awarded for higher tiers of evidence.

In 2016, the evidence tiers of funded AmeriCorps State and National competitive grantees were as follows: Strong 17 percent, Moderate 9 percent, Preliminary 39 percent, and Pre-Preliminary 24 percent. CNCS expects the programs it funds to be progressing along the evidence continuum. Thus, do not be deterred from applying for funding due to your current evidence level.

Applicants classifying their evidence as preliminary who propose to replicate an identical or similar evidence-based intervention, as well as applicants classifying their evidence as either moderate or strong evidence should reference the information about the evidence tiers provided below. Submission of additional documents (e.g., advocacy pieces, policy briefs, other narratives not research studies or program evaluations) that are not consistent with the guidance and requirements described in the Notice will not be reviewed.

All applicants must include as much detailed information as possible in the Evidence section of the application. Applicants that have collected relevant performance measurement data must describe this data. Applicants that have conducted evaluations of their own program or that are replicating other evidence-based programs must describe these evaluations as outlined in the evidence tier descriptions. Applicants are advised to focus on presenting high-quality evidence from up to two of the strongest and most relevant studies while also summarizing the remaining body of evidence that exists for the program. Studies must be evaluations of specific programs or interventions. Research that does not focus on a specific program or intervention, but rather focuses on a broader issue area or population, will not be considered applicable and will not be reviewed or receive any points.

When describing research studies or evaluations in the application narrative, applicants must include the following information in order to earn points:

- 1) The date the research or evaluation was completed, and the time period for which the intervention was examined
- 2) A description that shows the study's relevance to the proposed intervention
- 3) A description of the target population studied (e.g. the demographics)
- 4) The methodology used in the study (e.g.; outcome study, random assignment, regression discontinuity design, propensity score matching, etc.)
- 5) A description of the data, data source, and data collection methods
- 6) The outcomes or impacts examined and the study findings
- 7) The strength of the findings (e.g. effect size, confidence level, statistical power of the study design and statistical significance of findings).

Applicants must provide this information in the narrative even if they submit the study or evaluation. Applicants should provide citations for the studies they describe, if applicable; however, reviewers will not review any links or cited documents referenced in the application and will not review any additional documents that is not a study submitted in accordance with the *Notice* instructions.

Reviewers will examine the descriptions (and attached studies if relevant) using the following criteria:

- a) How closely the intervention evaluated in the studies matches the one proposed by the applicant;
- b) The methodological quality and rigor of the studies presented (e.g., sample size and statistical power, internal and/or external validity, use of control or equivalent comparison groups, baseline equivalence and study attrition, etc.);
- c) Strength and consistency of the findings, with preference given to findings that show a meaningful and persistent positive effect on participants demonstrated with confidence levels; and
- d) The date of the study, with a preference towards studies that have been conducted within the last six years.

If the evidence submitted as part of an application does not describe a well-designed and well-implemented evaluation, the applicant may be considered for a lower tier of evidence and related point values. Applicants must meet all requirements of the evidence tier in order to be considered for that tier. Applicants that do not meet all requirements will be considered for a lower tier.

Requirements associated with the five evidence tiers are described next.

**No evidence** (0 points) means that the applicant has not provided evidence that they have systematically collected any qualitative or quantitative data to date.

Applicants in this tier must describe how their program design is evidence-informed. An evidence-informed program uses the best available knowledge, research and evaluation to guide program design and implementation, but does not have scientific research or rigorous evaluation of the program itself. Applicants may describe up to two research or evaluation studies that inform their program design but may not submit these studies.

**Pre-preliminary evidence** (1-2 points) means the applicant has demonstrated data collection experience testing or tracking at least one aspect of its logic model. For example, the applicant has collected systemic and accurate data on one or more of the following: the community need the proposed intervention will address, the program intervention's activities and services delivered, participation in the intervention by the target population, and/or participant outcomes (for example, performance measurement data or a process evaluation assessing implementation of the intervention.)

In order to qualify for this tier, the applicant must have collected data about their own program. The data collection process and results must be described fully and the applicant should explain the link between data collection and the relevant component(s) of its logic model. Applicants should describe evidence for the pre-preliminary tier in the Evidence section of the application but should not submit additional documents unless required to meet CNCS evaluation requirements.

**Preliminary evidence** (3-6 points) means the applicant has provided data from at least one outcome study of their own intervention that yielded promising results for the proposed intervention or that the applicant proposes to replicate a similar intervention with fidelity to the evaluated program model. The ways to demonstrate preliminary level of evidence are as follows:

Preliminary with Outcome Study The applicant must describe at least one outcome study that was conducted of their own intervention. This must include a detailed description of the outcome study data from pre- and post-tests without a comparison group or post-test comparison between intervention and comparison groups. In some cases, a retrospective pre- and post-test may be considered, but its use must be justified. This description should explain whether the outcome study was conducted internally by the applicant organization or by an entity external to the applicant. Applicants must describe the studies fully but should not submit them unless required to meet CNCS evaluation requirements. Outcome evaluations that are submitted to fulfill the CNCS evaluation requirements will be reviewed only for compliance with evaluation requirements. Outcome evaluations submitted by applicants who were not required to meet CNCS evaluation requirements will not be considered when assessing evidence tier.

An outcome study includes data beyond that which is collected as part of routine performance measurement. In addition to describing up to two outcome studies of their own program or intervention, applicants must describe the performance measurement data they have collected and how the outcome study data goes beyond performance measurement.

Preliminary with Replication

- The applicant must describe and submit at least one randomized controlled trial study or quasi-experimental evaluation (e.g. propensity score matching) that found positive results for the same intervention that the applicant plans to replicate. The applicant must describe how the intervention studied and the applicant's proposed approach are the same and how the applicant will replicate the intervention with fidelity to the program model. The study must have been conducted by an entity external to the organization whose program was studied. An applicant may be eligible for more points if they also



submit evidence from a process evaluation demonstrating that they have implemented the replication with fidelity. The process evaluation should be described but not submitted.

- Applicants who do not submit the required study or who do not describe fully how they are replicating the evidence-based program with fidelity will be considered for a lower tier.

For the purposes of this *Notice*, “replicate” means that the key elements of the applicant’s intervention are implemented as the evidence-based program model describes (e.g., in terms of content or curriculum, delivery process, and target population), and the applicant’s adaptations are relatively minor. For example, an applicant implementing an intervention using certified teachers to administer the curriculum would not be considered replicating that program with fidelity if it replaces teachers with AmeriCorps members who are not certified teachers, because the documented success of the intervention relied on the specialization of certified teachers.

Applicants proposing to replicate an evidence-based program with fidelity must describe how their program is the same as, or very similar to, the program they will replicate in the following areas:

- Characteristics of the beneficiary population
- Characteristics of the population delivering the intervention
- Dosage (frequency, duration) and design of the intervention
- Training for the AmeriCorps members and/or other individuals, such as volunteers, delivering the intervention
- The context in which the intervention is delivered
- Outcomes of the intervention

Applicants must also describe how they will assess whether they are implementing the intervention with fidelity to the intervention they are replicating.

In cases where the applicant is part of a multi-site program (for example, a national program operating in multiple states) that has conducted an evaluation that qualifies them for the moderate or strong evidence tier, but the evaluation did not include the applicant’s proposed sites, the applicant must describe how they are replicating the evidence-based program with fidelity at all of the sites included in the application. In this case, an application for sites included in the evaluation would receive moderate or strong evidence, and an application for the sites not included in the evaluation would receive preliminary evidence.

**Moderate evidence** (7-9 points) means the applicant has submitted up to two well-designed and well-implemented studies of their own program that evaluated the same intervention described in this application and identified evidence of effectiveness on one or more key desired outcomes of interest as depicted in the applicant’s logic model. Evidence of effectiveness (or positive findings) is determined using experimental design evaluations (i.e., Randomized Controlled Trials (RCT)) or quasi-experimental design evaluations (QED) with statistically matched comparison (i.e., counterfactual) and treatment groups. The ability to generalize the findings from the RCT or QED beyond the study context may be limited (e.g., single-site). The studies were conducted by an independent entity external to the organization implementing the intervention.

Applicants classifying their evidence as **Moderate** must submit up to two evaluation reports from external entities or evaluations published in peer-reviewed articles as separate attachments.

CNCS grantees recompeting for their third competitive grant cycle are required to submit an evaluation report of their CNCS funded program. The CNCS-required evaluation report may count towards one of the two studies required for the Moderate evidence tier or may be submitted in addition to this. In the latter case, all three studies will be considered against the review criteria.

If the applicant is not required to submit an evaluation report of their CNCS funded program, then more than two studies will not be considered.

**Strong evidence** (10-12 points) means the applicant has demonstrated that the intervention described in the application has been tested nationally, regionally, or at the state-level (e.g., multi-site) using a well-designed and well-implemented QED or RCT of their own program. Alternatively, the proposed intervention's evidence may be based on multiple (up to two) well-designed and well-implemented QEDs or RCTs of their own program in different locations or with different populations within a local geographic area. The overall pattern of study findings is consistently positive on the key desired outcomes of interest as depicted in the applicant's Logic Model. Findings from the RCT or QED studies may be generalized beyond the study context. The studies were conducted by an independent entity external to the organization implementing the intervention.

Applicants classifying their evidence as **Strong** must submit up to two evaluation reports from external entities or evaluations published in peer-reviewed articles as separate attachments.

CNCS grantees re-competing for their third competitive grant cycle are required to submit an evaluation report of their CNCS funded program. The CNCS-required evaluation report may count towards one of the two studies required for the Strong evidence tier or may be submitted in addition to this. In the latter case, all three studies will be considered against the review criteria.

If the applicant is not required to submit an evaluation report of their CNCS funded program, then more than two studies will not be considered.

**e. Notice Priority (3 points)**

- The applicant proposed program fits within one or more of the 2017 AmeriCorps funding priorities as outlined in the *Funding Priorities* section and more fully described in the Mandatory Supplemental Guidance.
- The proposed program meets all of the requirements detailed in the *Funding Priorities* section and in the *Mandatory Supplemental Guidance*.

**f. Member Training (4 points)**

- AmeriCorps members will receive high quality training to provide effective service.
- AmeriCorps members and volunteers will be aware of, and will adhere to AmeriCorps requirements including the rules regarding prohibited activities (see *Mandatory Supplemental Guidance*).

**g. Member Supervision (2 points)**

- AmeriCorps members will receive sufficient guidance and support from their supervisor to provide effective service.
- AmeriCorps supervisors will be adequately trained/prepared to follow AmeriCorps and program regulations, priorities, and expectations.

**h. Member Experience (3 points)**

- AmeriCorps members will gain skills and experience as a result of their training and service that can be utilized and will be valued by future employers after their service term is completed.
- AmeriCorps members will have access to meaningful service experiences.
- AmeriCorps members will have access to opportunities for reflection and connection to the broader National Service network.
- The program will recruit AmeriCorps members from the geographic or demographic communities in which the programs operate.

**i. Commitment to AmeriCorps Identification (2 points)**

- Members will know they are AmeriCorps members.

- Staff and community members where the members are serving will know they are AmeriCorps members.

### 3. Organizational Capability (25 percent)

Reviewers will consider the quality of the application's response to the following criteria below. Do not assume all sub-criteria are of equal value.

#### *a. Organizational Background and Staffing (10 points)*

- The organization has the experience, staffing, and management structure to plan and implement the proposed program.
- The organization has sufficiently engaged community members and partner organizations in planning and implementing its intervention.
- As documentation of community support and commitment to the program please procure, keep on file, but do not submit to CNCS, letter(s) from the applicant's most significant community partner(s). The letter(s) should include what the partner(s) see as the benefit to the community provided by the applicant's AmeriCorps members and what activities would not happen without the AmeriCorps members.

#### *b. Compliance and Accountability (15 points)*

- The organization will comply with AmeriCorps rules and regulations including those related to prohibited and unallowable activities at the grantee, subgrantee (if applicable), and service site locations.
- The applicant's organization, in implementation and management of its AmeriCorps program, will prevent and detect compliance issues.
- The applicant will hold subgrantees (if applicable) and service site locations accountable if instances of risk or noncompliance are identified.

### 4. Cost Effectiveness and Budget Adequacy (25 percent)

Reviewers will consider the quality of the application's response to the following criteria below. Do not assume all sub-criteria are of equal value.

#### *a. Cost Effectiveness (18 points)*

- The budget is sufficient to carry out the program effectively.\*
- The budget aligns with the applicant's narrative.\*
- The applicant has raised or describes an adequate plan to raise non-CNCS resources to fully support the program.
- The applicant, if recompeting, has a lower cost per Member Service Year (MSY – see Mandatory Supplemental Guidance) than approved in previous grants, or provides a compelling rationale for the same or increased cost including why this increase could not be covered by the grantee share.

\*Program costs not included in the formal budget, including for Fixed Price grantees, must be described in this section in sufficient detail to allow reviewers to assess their sufficiency and alignment. Failure to provide adequate information in this section may result in a lower score.

Having a low cost per Member Service Year (MSY) is a competitive advantage. New applicants that submit with a low cost per MSY and recompeting applicants that submit with a lower cost per MSY than previously funded may receive higher priority for funding. Applicants requesting a higher cost per MSY than funded in previous years must justify their requests. If an applicant requests above the maximum cost per MSY (see *Maximum Cost per MSY* Section), it must justify its request.

#### *b. Budget Adequacy (7 points)*

- Budget is submitted without mathematical errors and proposed costs are allowable, reasonable, and allocable to the award.
- Budget is submitted with adequate information to assess how each line item is calculated.
- Budget is in compliance with the budget instructions.

- Match is submitted with adequate information to support the amount written in the budget.

Applicants must complete the budget and ensure the following information is in the budget narrative (requested information in the budget screens):

- Identify the non-CNCS funding and resources necessary to support the project, including for Fixed Price applicants.
- Indicate the amount of non-CNCS resource commitments, type of commitments (in-kind and/or cash) and the sources of these commitments.

### 5. Evaluation Plan (Required for recompeting grantees - 0 percent)

If the applicant is competing for the first time, please enter N/A in the “Evaluation Summary or Plan” field since it pertains only to recompeting grantees. If the applicant is recompeting for AmeriCorps funds for the first time (see definition of “recompeting” below) the program must submit its evaluation plan in the “Evaluation Summary or Plan” section of the Narratives field in eGrants. If the applicant is recompeting for a subsequent time, the program must submit its evaluation report (see the *Submission of Additional Documents* section for more information), as well as an evaluation plan for the next three-year period in the “Evaluation Plan” field in eGrants. Evaluations plans submitted outside of eGrants will not be reviewed.

Evaluation plans must include as much information as possible for each of the following (see Frequently Asked Questions: Evaluation, available at <http://www.nationalservice.gov/resources/evaluation/cnccs-evaluation-policies>):

- A short description of the Theory of Change – why the proposed intervention is expected to produce the proposed results
- Outcome of interest – clear and measurable outcomes that are aligned with the Theory of Change and will be assessed during the evaluation
- Research questions to be addressed by the study – concrete research questions (or hypotheses) that are clearly connected to the outcomes
- Study components – a) a proposed research design for the evaluation including a rationale for the design selected, an assessment of its strengths and limitations, and a description of the main components; b) description of the sampling methods, measurement tools, and data collection procedures, and c) an analysis plan
- Qualifications needed for the evaluator
- The estimated budget

For more information about evaluation plans visit the CNCS Knowledge Network’s Evaluation Resources: <http://www.nationalservice.gov/resources/evaluation/planning-evaluation>.

The evaluation requirements differ depending on the amount of the grant, as described in 45 C.F.R. §2522.710:

- If the applicant’s average annual CNCS program grant is \$500,000 or more, it must arrange for an external evaluation of the program, and it must submit the evaluation with any subsequent application for funds as required in 45 C.F.R. §2522.730.
- If the applicant’s average annual CNCS program grant is less than \$500,000, or an Education Award Program Grantee, it must conduct an internal or an external evaluation of the program, and it must submit the evaluation with any subsequent application for funds as required in 45 C.F.R. §2522.730.

A program will be considered a recompeting application if it satisfies the CNCS definition of “same project” (see Mandatory Supplemental Guidance) and has been funded competitively for at least one complete three-year cycle. If the project satisfies the definition of same project and the applicant has completed one competitively funded three-year cycle, it will be required to submit an evaluation plan. If the project satisfied the definition of same project and the applicant has completed two or more competitively funded three-year cycles, the applicant will be required to submit an evaluation report as well as an evaluation plan for the upcoming 3-year grant. If the project does not satisfy the definition of recompeting, it will not be required to submit an evaluation plan, or completed evaluation report.

The “Evaluation Summary or Plan” field of the Narrative does not count towards the page limit of the application; however, it does have a set character limit of 10,000 characters. Applicants should print out the plan to ensure the narrative does not exceed the character limits when entered in eGrants.

Applicants with an average annual CNCS program grant of \$500,000 or more that are recompeting for funds are eligible to apply for approval of an alternative evaluation approach. Grantees requesting approval of an alternative evaluation approach should submit a request for approval of an alternative evaluation approach along with their evaluation plan in the Evaluation Summary or Plan field in eGrants. The request should clearly explain: (a) the evaluation constraints faced by the program, (b) why the proposed approach is the most rigorous option feasible, and (c) how the proposed alternative approach will help the grantee build their evidence base. Evaluation plans should include, at a minimum, the required elements listed in this *Notice*. The evaluation plan must be consistent with the information submitted in the competitive funding application and in the request for approval of an alternative evaluation approach. More information on alternative evaluation approaches can be found at:

[https://www.nationalserviceresources.gov/files/guidance\\_for\\_grantees\\_approval\\_of\\_alternative\\_evaluation\\_approach.pdf](https://www.nationalserviceresources.gov/files/guidance_for_grantees_approval_of_alternative_evaluation_approach.pdf).

If the request for the alternative evaluation approach and the evaluation plan itself will exceed the character limit of the evaluation summary or plan field in eGrants, the applicant should do the following:

- Enter the evaluation plan in the evaluation summary or plan field in eGrants.
- Include a note in the evaluation summary or plan field stating that the applicant is requesting an alternative evaluation approach.
- Include the alternative evaluation approach at the END of the application narrative with the heading “REQUEST FOR ALTERNATIVE EVALUATION APPROACH.” This section of the application narrative will not count against the page limit.

#### **6. Amendment Justification (0 percent)**

Enter N/A. This field will be used if the applicant is awarded a grant and needs to amend it.

#### **7. Clarification Information (0 percent)**

Enter N/A. This field will be used to enter information that requires clarification in the post-review period.

#### **8. Continuation Changes (0 percent)**

Enter N/A. This field will be used to enter changes in the application narratives in continuation requests.

### **B. Review and Selection Process**

#### **1. Compliance and Eligibility Review**

Nevada Volunteers staff will review all applications submitted to determine compliance with eligibility, the submission deadline, and completeness. Applications determined non-compliant will not be considered for funding. An application is compliant if the applicant:

- Is an eligible organization
- Submits an application by the submission deadline
- Submits an application that is complete, in that it contains all required elements and follows the instructions provided in this *Notice*
- Submits an application that is either Healthy Futures: Reducing and/or Preventing Prescription Drug and Opioid Abuse and/or Safer Communities: Strengthening Law Enforcement and Community Relations
- Submits an application with required AmeriCorps member positions (or slots) and a full-time program director or justifies the deviation

Nevada Volunteers will screen applications in accordance with the requirements in this *Notice* to determine if the applicant has met all eligibility and submission requirements. The screening may occur at various stages of the grant-making process. Applicants determined to be ineligible will not receive an award.

## 2. Review

Each application will be assessed by Nevada Volunteers staff. External reviewers (Peer Review Committee) will evaluate applications using the application review criteria and will consider the comprehensiveness and feasibility of the application. Internal reviewers (Nevada Volunteers staff) will evaluate applications that are selected by the peer review committee to go forward for recommended for funding to the commission using the application review criteria and will consider the comprehensiveness and feasibility of the applications as well as the priorities and strategic considerations as detailed in the notice. All reviewers will be screened for conflicts of interest.

## 3. Post Review Quality Control

After the reviewers complete their assessment, CNCS staff will review the results for fairness and consistency. Some applications may be selected for a Quality Control assessment. This additional level of review may be used to assess applications ranked first by Nevada Volunteers that received low scores and applications for which there are significant panel anomalies.

## 4. Risk Assessment Evaluation

Nevada Volunteers staff will evaluate the risks to the program posed by each applicant, including conducting due diligence to ensure an applicant's ability to manage federal funds and the applicant's past performance (if applicable.) This evaluation is in addition to the evaluation of the applicant's eligibility for funding and the quality of its application on the basis of the Selection Criteria. Results from this evaluation will inform funding decisions. If Nevada Volunteers or CNCS determines that an award will be made, special conditions that correspond to the degree of risk assessed may be applied to the award. In evaluating risks, Nevada Volunteers and CNCS may consider the following, but not limited to:

- Financial stability
- Quality of financial management systems and ability to meet the administrative standards prescribed in applicable OMB Guidance
- History of performance as reflected in the applicant's record in managing previous CNCS awards, cooperative agreements, or procurement awards, including:
  - Timeliness of compliance with applicable reporting requirements,
  - If applicable, meeting matching requirements, and
  - If applicable, the extent to which any previously awarded amounts will be expended prior to future awards
- Information available through any OMB-designated repositories of government-wide eligibility qualification or financial integrity information, such as—
  - Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS),
  - Dun and Bradstreet, or
  - "Do Not Pay"
- Reports and findings from single audits performed under OMB Circular A-133 and findings of any other available audits
- IRS Tax Form 990
- An applicant organization's annual report
- Publicly available information including information from an applicant organization's website
- Any other information listed in 45 C.F.R. § 2522.140
- The applicant's ability to effectively implement statutory, regulatory, or other requirements.
- Past Performance

Nevada Volunteers will assess re-competing subgrantees' past performance and submit those assessments to CNCS for competitive applicants. This assessment is in addition to the evaluation of the applicant's eligibility for funding or the quality of its application on the basis of the Selection Criteria. Results from this assessment will inform funding decisions. In evaluating programmatic performance, Nevada Volunteers and CNCS will consider the following for applicants:

- Grant progress reports – attainment of Performance Measures
- Enrollment and retention

- Compliance with 30-day enrollment and exit requirements in the AmeriCorps portal
- Site visit or other monitoring findings (if applicable)
- Significant opportunities and/or risks of the grantee related to national service

Additionally, Nevada Volunteers and CNCS may use the results of the review of the risk assessment evaluation in determining which applications to fund. If Nevada Volunteers or CNCS concludes that the reasons for applicants having poor risk assessment evaluations are not likely to be mitigated, those applications may not be selected for funding.

### **5. Clarification Process**

Some applicants may receive requests to provide clarifying information and/or make changes to their application including changes to the budget. This information is used by Nevada Volunteers and CNCS staff in making final recommendations. Applications may be recommended for funding even if they are not asked clarification questions. A request for clarification does not guarantee a grant award. Failure to respond to requests for additional information in response to clarification questions in a timely fashion may result in the removal of applications from consideration.

### **6. Selection for Funding**

The assessment of applications involves a wide range of factors and considerations. Nevada Volunteers and/or CNCS staff will apply their experience and expertise in evaluating and recommending applications. CNCS will engage external reviewers to provide insight and input with respect to the evidence base of eligible applications. In the end, the review and selection process will produce a diversified set of high-quality programs that reflect the priorities and strategic consideration described in this *Notice*.

Specifically, the review and selection process will:

- a. Identify how well eligible applications are aligned with application review criteria and/or represent relative risk and/or opportunity.
- b. Yield a diversified portfolio based on the following strategic considerations:
  - Meaningful representation of
    - Geographic diversity
    - Rural communities (see definition in Mandatory Supplemental Guidance)
    - Small and medium programs
    - Single and multi-state programs
    - Faith- based organizations
  - Nevada Volunteers and/or CNCS *Notice* Priorities representation
  - Organizations and/or program models that recruit and engage traditionally underrepresented populations as AmeriCorps members (e.g.; people with disabilities, low-income individuals, older Americans, etc.) and expand opportunities to serve as AmeriCorps members
  - High ratio of match and/or other revenue to CNCS investment
  - Low Cost per MSY
  - Moderate and Strong evidence levels
  - Promise Zone designees

In selecting applicants to receive awards under this *Notice*, the Nevada Volunteers Commission and/or Chief Executive Officer will endeavor to include a diverse portfolio of applications based on staff evaluations, recommendations, priorities, and strategic considerations.

***Nevada Volunteers and CNCS reserves the right to adjust or make changes to the review process, in the event that unforeseen challenges or exigent circumstances make it impossible, impractical or inefficient to execute the review process as planned. Any such adjustments or changes will not affect the selection criteria that will be used to assess applications submitted to CNCS in response to this Notice.***

### C. Consideration of Integrity and Performance System Information

Prior to making any award under this Notice that exceeds \$150,000, CNCS is required to review and consider any information about the applicant that is in the designated integrity and performance system accessible through SAM (currently FAPIIS) (see 41 U.S.C. 2313);

Any applicant, at its option, may review information in the designated integrity and performance systems accessible through SAM and comment on any information about itself that a Federal awarding agency previously entered and is currently in the designated integrity and performance system accessible through SAM;

CNCS will consider any comments by any applicant, in addition to the other information in the designated integrity and performance system, in making a judgment about the applicant's integrity, business ethics, and record of performance under Federal awards when completing its review of risk posed by the applicant under the Risk Assessment Evaluation section of this *Notice*.

### D. Feedback to Applicants

Following grant awards, each applicant will receive summary comments pertaining to their application. Feedback will be based on the review of the original application and will not reflect any information that may have been provided in response to clarification questions, if any. All compliant applications will receive feedback from the external and staff review of the application.

### 5. Transparency in Grant-making

CNCS is committed to transparency in grant-making. The following information for new and re-competing applications will be published on [CNCS Results of Grant Competitions](#) within 90 business days after all grants are awarded:

- a list of all compliant applications submitted
- Executive Summaries of all compliant applications submitted by the applicants
- data extracted from the SF-424 Face Sheet and the submitted program narratives for successful applications
- a blank template of the external review worksheet
- a list of all external reviewers that completed the review process
- a summary of external reviewer comments for successful applications.

## FEDERAL AWARD ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION

---

### A. Federal Award Notices

CNCS anticipates announcing the results of this competition by notifying applicants **no later than** Tuesday, July 11, 2017, contingent on timely full year appropriations. Nevada Volunteers anticipates announcing the results of the competition by notifying applications **no later than July 14, 2017**. Applicants will be notified of funding decisions via email. Notification will be sent to the individual listed in the eGrants application Face Sheet as the person with whom communication regarding this application may be shared. This notification is not an authorization to begin grant activities. The Notice of Grant Award signed by the Grant Officer is the authorizing document for grant activities. Unsuccessful applicants will also receive a notification that their application was not approved for funding.

An awardee may not expend federal funds until the start of the Project Period identified on the Notice of Grant Award. Nevada Volunteers will make an award for one year of operation.

### B. Administrative and National Policy Requirements

#### 1. Uniform Guidance

The Notice of Grant Award incorporates the approved application as part of the binding commitments under the grant award, as well as the requirements of applicable sections of the National and Community Service Act of 1990 and other applicable statutes. Awards will also be subject to the specific terms and conditions established for AmeriCorps grants and/or defined in the Terms and Conditions or Special Conditions attached to the award.

#### 2. Requests for Improper Payment Information



CNCS may, from time to time, request documentation from recipients in order to monitor the award or to comply with other legal requirements, such as the Improper Payments Information Act of 2002, as amended. Failure to make timely responses to these requests may result in award funds being placed on temporary manual hold, reimbursement only, or other remedies as appropriate. In addition, Nevada Volunteers and CNCS may, in appropriate circumstances, consider in its funding decisions any previous failures to make timely responses.

### 3. CNCS Terms and Conditions

Grants under this program are subject to the Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance), now consolidated in 2 C.F.R. Parts 200 and 2205. This final guidance supersedes and streamlines requirements from OMB Circulars A-21, A-87, and A-122 (the former Cost Principles), A-110 and A-102 (the former Administrative Requirements), A-133 and A-50 (the former Audits and Audit Follow up), and A-89 (the former Federal Domestic Assistance Program Information).

### 4. National Service Criminal History Check Requirements

The National Service Criminal History Check (NSCHC) is a screening procedure established by law to protect the beneficiaries of national service. The law requires recipients to conduct and document NSCHCs on any person (including award-funded staff, national service participant, or volunteer) receiving a salary, living allowance, stipend, or education award through a program receiving CNCS funds. An individual is ineligible to serve in a position that receives such CNCS funding if the individual is registered, or required to be registered, as a sex offender or has been convicted of murder. The cost of conducting NSCHCs is an allowable expense under the award.

Unless CNCS has provided a recipient with a written exemption or written approval of an alternative search procedure, recipients must perform the following checks—

All award-funded staff, national service participants, and volunteers must undergo NSCHCs that include:

1. A nationwide name-based search of the National Sex Offender Public Website (NSOPW)
2. Either
  - a. A name- or fingerprint-based search of the statewide criminal history registry in the person's state of residence and in the state where the person will serve/work; *or*
  - b. A fingerprint-based FBI criminal history check.

Special Rule for Persons Serving Vulnerable Populations. Award-funded staff, national service participants, and volunteers *with recurring access to vulnerable populations* (i.e., children age 17 or younger, individuals age 60 or older, or individuals with disabilities) must undergo NSCHCs that include:

1. A nationwide name-based check of the NSOPW; and
2. Both
  - a. A name- or fingerprint-based search of the statewide criminal history registry in the person's state of residence and in the state where the person will serve/work; *and*
  - b. A fingerprint-based FBI criminal history check.

See 45 C.F.R. § 2540.200–§ 2540.207 and [CNCS Criminal History Check Resources](#) for complete information and FAQs.

### D. Use of Material

To ensure that materials generated with CNCS funding are available to the public and readily accessible to grantees and non-grantees, CNCS reserves a royalty-free, nonexclusive, and irrevocable right to obtain, use, modify, reproduce, publish, or disseminate publications and materials produced under the award, including data, and to authorize others to do so (2 C.F.R. §200.315 (b)).

### E. Reporting

Recipients are required to submit a variety of reports which are due at specific times during the life cycle of an award. All reports must be accurate, complete, and submitted on time.

Cost reimbursement grantees are required to provide quarterly progress reports, semi-annual financial reports, and an internal or external evaluation report as required by the AmeriCorps regulations 45 CFR §§2522.500-2522.540 and §§2522.700-2522.740.

Fixed Amount grantees are required to provide quarterly progress reports and an internal or external evaluation report.

Once the grant is awarded, recipients will be expected to have data collection and data management policies and practices that provide reasonable assurance that they are providing CNCS with high quality programmatic and financial data. At a minimum, grantees should have policies and practices which address the following five aspects of data quality:

- The data measures what it intends to measure;
- The grantee collects data in a consistent manner;
- The grantee takes steps to correct data errors;
- The grantee ensures that the data reported is complete; and
- The grantee actively reviews data prior to submission.

### **Progress Report Data**

In addition to quarterly reporting of progress toward the grant's approved performance measure outputs and outcomes, additional demographic and narrative data must be reported as applicable, including the number of applicants for AmeriCorps positions and the number of volunteers recruited and/or supported by AmeriCorps members (both episodic and ongoing volunteers).

Failure to submit accurate, complete, and timely required reports may affect the recipient's ability to secure future CNCS funding.

For further guidance and training resources see – [Resources: Data Collection and Instruments](#).

### **F. Continuation Funding Information and Requirements**

Organizations that have current AmeriCorps awards that do not end in FY17 must submit an application in order to be eligible to receive funding for the following year. Please see the Application Instructions. Requests by existing continuation applicants for increases in the level of funding or number of positions will be assessed using the criteria under this *Notice*.

## **OTHER INFORMATION**

---

### **A. Technical Assistance**

In addition to consulting the Application Instructions, Mandatory Supplemental Guidance, Performance Measure Instructions, and AmeriCorps regulations as directed in this *Notice*, applicants are encouraged also to consult Nevada Volunteers website for a schedule of technical assistance conference calls, and Frequently Asked Questions that are updated during the competition period. This information can be found at <http://nevadavolunteers.org/ameriCorps/ameriCorps-funding/notice-of-funding-opportunities/>

### **B. Re-Focusing of Funding**

Nevada Volunteers and CNCS reserve the right to re-focus funding in the event of a disaster or other compelling need for service.

## **CONTACT INFORMATION**

---

All communication related to this *Notice* must be directed to the contact person named below. For questions regarding an AmeriCorps Nevada application, contact:

Laura Dickey  
Director of AmeriCorps  
Email: [laura@nevadavolunteers.org](mailto:laura@nevadavolunteers.org)  
Phone: 775.825.1900

Communications related to this notice shall be directed to the contacts named above. All emails and phone calls will be returned within a reasonable amount of time. Applicants are encouraged to submit their questions well in advance of the deadline as Nevada Volunteers is not obligated to respond to questions received 48 hours prior to the due date.

Questions regarding multi-state or Indian Tribe applications must be submitted directly to CNCS:

Corporation for National and Community Service  
ATTN: Office of Grants Policy and Operations/ASN Application  
250 E St SW  
Washington, DC 20525  
TTY: 202.606.3472  
Phone: 202.606.7508